



Examination of the diaphragm using ultrasonography and elastography: a literature review

Received: 18-05-2020	Małgorzata Pałac ^{1,2} , Magdalena Rutka ^{1,2}							
Accepted: 29-07-2020	¹ Institute of Physiotherapy and Health Sciences. Musculoskeletal							
Published: 25-08-2020	Elastography and Ultrasonography Laboratory, The Jerzy Kukuczka							
	Academy of Physical Education, Katowice, Poland							
	² <i>Musculoskeletal Diagnostic and Physiotherapy - Research Team, The Jerzy</i>							
	Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education, Katowice, Poland							
Abstract:	The diaphragm is the respiratory muscle that plays the largest role among other respiratory muscles. Due to the structure and anatomical connections, it also performs several other functions. Among many roles, diaphragm contributes to the stability of spine, supports digestive processes, prevent the development of oedema and varicose veins. The diaphragm is examined by various diagnostic tools. Due to the availability, simplicity and non-invasive examination the greatest potential has ultrasound imaging. Therefore, the purpose of the review is to introduce the reader the methods of diaphragm imaging using elastography and ultrasonography. The review of the literature includes articles discribing the exact methodology, reliability and repeatability of results. The analysis included 17 studies which measured the diaphragm thickness and 2 studies in which the diaphragm elasticity was examined. The examination was most often performed in a supine position. The ultrasound probe was usually applied in 8th, 9th or 10th intercostal spaces on the anterior axillary line or between the anterior and midaxillary line. Due to the difficult measurement of the left hemidiaphragm, in 58% works examined only right hemidiaphragm. The aspect of reliability was tested in 42% of works. Intraobserver and interobserver reliability in these publications was high. The review of the work resulted several discrepancies in methodology of procedure.							
Keywords:	ultrasound imaging, shear wave elastography, diaphragm thickness, breath							
Corresponding author:	Małgorzata Pałac, The Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education in Katowice, ul. Mikołowska 72A, 40-065 Katowice małgorzata.pałac3@gmail.com							
How to cite the paper:	Pałac M, Rutka M. Examination of the diaphragm using ultrasonography and elastography: a literature review. Physiother Health Act 2020; 28:51-64. DOI: 10.32087/pha-2020-0007							

 \odot 2020 Małgorzata Pałac, Magdalena Rutka. This is an open access article licensed under the Academy of Physical Education (http://www.ptha.eu).

Introduction

Respiratory muscles are essential for life because they provide the driving force for breathing. Among respiratory muscles, the diaphragm plays the greatest role in breathing [1,2]. This dome-shaped muscle separates the abdominal cavity from the chest. During inhalation, the diaphragm shrinks and lowers, reducing the pressure in the chest and increasing the pressure in the abdominal cavity. It is responsible for three quarters of lung volume increase during quiet breathing [2-5]. Due to the structure of the diaphragm and its connections, anatomical extensive it performs several other functions apart from its role in breathing [6]. It contributes to the stability of the spine through increased intra-abdominal pressure and provides an anatomical connection with the lumbar region. Reduced diaphragm movements have been observed in patients with chronic low back pain compared to healthy individuals [7,8]. The diaphragm also affects digestive processes. [9]. The diaphragm contributes to preventing of gastroesophagal reflux because the diaphragm muscle is considered as two muscle in one. Diaphragm cruras during rhythmic breathing can squeeze the esophagus and oppose the work of the rib part of the diaphragm, which can squeeze acid from the stomach into the esophagus [10]. The rhythmic action of the diaphragm also supports the flow of venous blood and lymph from the lower extremities, thus preventing the development of oedema and varicose veins [6,9]. There is also information in the literature concerning the association of diaphragm function with the activity and pain of pelvic floor muscles and the cervix [6]. Despite its importance, the diaphragm is often overlooked as a possible cause of other dysfunctions [5].

There are numerous research and diagnostic tools to evaluate the diaphragm, and each tool has its strengths and weaknesses [11-13]. X-rays may show

asymmetries of the diaphragm, but do not reflect its mobility [13-15].

Fluoroscopy assesses the inspiratory movement of the diaphragm during a short inspiratory effort (fluoroscopic sniff test) one hemidiaphragm [13,14]. If is unilaterally weakened during the test, an upward movement occurs. This test is useful in the diagnosis of unilateral diaphragm paralysis, but in the case of bilateral diaphragm paralysis it is no longer useful [14]. Fluoroscopy is not considered a reliable examination as false results are sometimes observed [12,14,16]. False positive results have been recorded in healthy patients, in whom rapid relaxation of abdominal muscles during inspiration abdominal muscle contraction follows expiration, during which could be incorrectly interpreted as a diaphragm contraction [16]. Additionally, this test is invasive, time consuming and involves exposure to ionizing radiation [17,18].

We can also assess the structure of the diaphragm by computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). However, such examinations are limited by the availability of equipment and high cost of their performance [5,11,19]. Moreover, they require a great deal of anatomical knowledge on the part of the examiner [20]. An additional disadvantage of CT examination is the involvement of ionizing radiation.

Aside from traditional MRI, the literature also reports diaphragm tests performed with dynamic MRI [20,21]. Compared to CT or traditional MRI, dynamic MRI does not require breath holding and provides more information on diaphragm movement [22,23]. However, the availability of this test tool is limited and the cost of examination is high. Other possible hindrances to MRI examination include obesity and claustrophobia, preventing the patient from being placed in the machine [23]. When examinations such as X-ray or fluoroscopy are inconclusive,

patients are sometimes referred for nerve conduction studies and electromyography (EMG). This method may detect possible neuromuscular respiratory impairment [5,24]. Surface EMG is simple and noninvasive, but it has some limitations. The signals from the superficial electrodes can be contaminated from adjacent structures, [25]. Therefore, diaphragm examination by superficial EMG may originated from the intercostal muscles or heart. However, diaphragm examination with needle electrodes may be difficult due to the risk of lung, liver, spleen and colon injury [13,24].

At present, it is not possible to directly assess the strength of the diaphragm. In the literature, the best indicator of diaphragm strength is the transdiaphragmatic pressure [1,16]. The examination of pressure on both sides of the diaphragm requires the placement of balloon catheters into the stomach and lower oesophagus. The transdiaphragmatic pressure is the difference between the gastric and oesophageal pressures. This method is invasive, which limits its wider use and carries the risk of infection [14].

Currently, shear wave elastography (SWE) is considered the best alternative to transdiaphragmatic pressure measurement [1,26]. Studies by Bachasson et al. [26] showed that changes diaphragm in elasticity reflect changes in transdiaphragmatic pressure. Both SWE and classical ultrasonography allow noninvasive examination of the diaphragm in real time [5,3,11,13,26]. Many studies simplicity demonstrate the and reproducibility of the method in the assessment of motion, velocity of contraction, or thickness change under various conditions [12,15,24,27]. Goligher al. [28] demonstrated et that ultrasonography is helpful in monitoring assessing diaphragm dysfunction and during ventilation. It may also be particularly useful when transporting the

patient to the radiology ward would endanger their health. Ultrasonography enables the examination of the diaphragm using different modes (B-mode, M-mode, SWE), which allows its examination under resting conditions and during various postural or respiratory actions [1,2,26]. Additionally, ultrasonography can be useful to assess the relaxation of the diaphragm [12].

Due to its simplicity and availability, ultrasonography imaging currently has the greatest potential for non-invasive and painless examination of diaphragm morphology, which plays a significant role in various dysfunctions. Thus, analysis of concerning ultrasonographic studies evaluation of diaphragm morphology and function in healthy individuals, as well as in those with various dysfunctions, may contribute to a better understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms of these abnormalities and their relation to the diaphragm, well as facilitating as investigations of changes that occur during therapy. Evaluating the different imaging methods reported in the literature may assist in the development of clinical trials using these procedures. Therefore, the present paper aims to introduce the reader to different methods of diaphragm imaging by elastography and ultrasonography. In this review, the emphasis is placed on presenting the method of diaphragm evaluation and the reliability and repeatability of the presented methods.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

Electronic search of the "Medline" database using the PubMed search engine by two independent was conducted researchers. All articles that were published in English up to January 2020 were qualified. There was no exclusion criterion based on the type of paper. Additionally, the reference lists of all downloaded articles were checked for

potentially relevant research papers. The search strategy included the use of the following keywords identifying the population ("people" OR "adult" OR "children"), research tool ("US" OR "Ultrasonography" OR "Elastography" OR "SWE") and the subject of the study ("diaphragm").

Study selection

One reviewer (MP) examined the titles and abstracts resulting from the electronic search to exclude articles that did not relate to the topic under examination. The remaining publications were analysed in their entirety by both reviewers. The criteria for inclusion were as follows: (1) published human study, (2) of diaphragm evaluation the bv ultrasonography or elastography, and (3) description of the exact procedure for ultrasonography or elastography. The exclusion criteria were (1) no information on the examination method and (2) studies not involving the diaphragm.

Data extraction

The authors collected and arranged the data based on details reported in the text. In order to facilitate interpretation, data concerning the study group (age, sex, dysfunction), the position of the examined person, the position of the transducer during the examination, the measured ultrasound parameters and the examination mode, the examined side and the reliability of the examination method are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Results

Thickness

The analysis included 17 studies which measured the thickness of the diaphragm by means of an ultrasound. The diaphragm was examined in different positions. Most of the researchers stated the exact location of the probe together with its position in relation to the vertical line of the chest. The intraobserver and interobserver reliability were reported in eight studies. Detailed data concerning the study group, methodology and reliability of the examinations are presented in Table 1.

Elasticity

The analysis included two studies that used an elastograph as the working tool. Data concerning the study group and the methodology of the conducted examinations are presented in Table 2. Unfortunately, none of the studies examined the reliability of diaphragm elasticity assessment.

Discussion

From the literature search, 19 studies reporting diaphragm imaging by ultrasound and elastograph were selected. The diaphragm was examined in standing, semi-recumbent sitting. and supine positions. The supine position was the most frequently used position, which seems to be suitable for analysis of the full mobility of the diaphragm because it is more mobile in this position than in the standing position [2,17]. sitting or Additionally, Gerscovich et al. [18] claim that the supine position of the diaphragm is more reliable and reproducible between the However, the assessment sides. of diaphragm contraction in a sitting or standing position is of greater functional importance given that most everyday activities are performed in a vertical position [2]. A study by Hellyer et al. [2] showed that the position in which the diaphragm is examined influences its thickness. It has been observed that the diaphragm is 20% thicker in the sitting and standing positions compared to the supine position. Posture may affect the diaphragm thickness due to the close proximity of internal organs of the abdomen. In the lying position, the viscera are located higher in the abdomen, which stretches the

Г

Reliability analyses	lack	Intra-rater reliability <u>DT-exp</u> ICC=0.94; (95% CI 0.79–0.98) <u>DT-insp</u> ICC=0.89; (95% CI 0.69–0.97) Inter-rater reliability <u>DT-exp</u> ICC=0.98; (95% CI 0.91– 0.99) <u>DT-insp</u>	Intraobserver Variability (diaphragmatic motion) intraobserver Variability (diaphragmatic motion) right: QB: $r = 0.96$; $P < 0.0(1)$; VS: $r = 0.96$; $P < 0.001$; DB: $r = 0.96$; $P < 0.001$ left: QB: $r = 0.91$; $P < 0.001$; VS: $r = 0.93$; $P < 0.001$ Interobserver Variability(diaphragmatic excursion) right: QB: $r = 0.95$; $P < 0.001$; VS: $r = 0.96$; $P < 0.(01)$; DB: $r = 0.94$; $P < 0.0(1)$ left: QB: $r = 0.95$; $P < 0.001$; VS: $r = 0.93$; $P < 0.001$ Intraobserver reproducibility of the diaphragmatic motion right: QB: $r = 0.91$; $P < 0.001$; VS: $r = 0.93$; $P < 0.001$ Intraobserver reproducibility of the diaphragmatic motion right: QB: $r = 0.91$; $P < 0.001$; VS: $r = 0.93$; $P < 0.001$ Intraobserver reproducibility of the diaphragmatic motion right: QB: $0.67 \pm 5\%$; VS: $0.2 \pm 6\%$ Interobserver reproducibility of the diaphragmatic motion right: QB: $0.5 \pm 7\%$; VS: $0.4 \pm \%$; DB: $1 \pm 7\%$ left: QB: $1 \pm 8.6\%$, VS: $1.4 \pm 6\%$;	QD 1.1 ± 0.07%, VO 1.7 ± 0.0 lack
Examined	Right	Both	Both	Both
Mode	lack	b-mode	m-mode	m-mode
US measurments	- Tdi	Tdi	- DM	- EXdi
Probe's position	 7th-10th intercostal space, mid-axillary line 	 8th or 9th intercostal space anterior to the anterior axillary line 	Right: - subcostal area - bet ween the midclavicular and anterior axillary lines - subcostal or low intercostal - bet ween the anterior and mid axillary lines	 subcostal or low intercostal approach
Subject's	upright position	supine position	standing position	supine position
Research	10 male world-class powerlifters	150 normal subjects (20- 83 years old)	210 healthy adult (150 male, 60 female)	45 subjects (1 day of
Author	Brown et al. [32]	Boon et al. [24]	Boussuges et al. [17]	Gerscovich et al. [18]

Table 1. Selected studies of diaphragm visualization using an ultrasound scanner

www.ptha.eu/Articles/doi:10.32087/pha-2020-0007

55

Examination of the diaphragm

Table 1. Cont.

	Interrater reliability <u>Right:</u> Cohen K coefficient = 1 <u>Left</u> : Cohen K Coefficient = 0,99	lack	Intra-analyser reproducibility ICC=0.987; CR=7.3 Inter-analyser reproducibility ICC=0.985; CR=7.9 Intra-observer reproducibility ICC=0.985; CR=15.2 Inter-observer reproducibility ICC=0.978; CR=17.8	$\overline{Tdi:}$ mean \pm SD 2.4 \pm 0.8 mm; CR=0.2 mm,reproducibility coefficient 0.4 mm $\overline{TEdi:}$ median 11 %; IQR=3-17 %; CR=17 %,reproducibility coefficient 16 %	lack	Intra-observer Variability $\overline{DT-insp}$ r = 0,862; mean differences 0.16 mm; SD=0,51 mm $\overline{DT-exp}$ r=0,883; mean differences 0.02 mm; SD=0,15 mm P < 0,05
	Both	Right	Right	Both	Right	Right
	b-mode	b-mode	m-mode	m-mode	-b-mode -m-mode	lack
	Tdi	- Tdi - TR	- Thi	- Tdi - TGdi - TF	- Tdi - DM	- Tdi
 between midaxillary and midclavicular lines 	 ABCDE technique: Anterior axillary line(below the level of the nipple), watch for Breathing (lung sliding), and then move the probe Caudally to identify the Diaphragm for Examination 	 intercostal space between the anteroaxillary and midaxillary lines 	 above the 10th rib midaxillary or anteroaxillary line, 	 9th or 10th intercostal space midaxillary line 	 9th intercostal space - anterior axillary line 	 8th or 9th intercostal space between anteroaxillary and midaxillary lines
	semirecu mbent position	seated position	semi- recumbe nt position	lack	supine position	lack
life- 66 years old)	100 patients (52 females, 48 males; 21-94 years)	13 healthy men (29-54 year old)	12 ICU patients	96 mechanicall y ventilated patients	21 women with low back pain	77 patients with heart failure (about 72 years old)
	Khurana et al. [11]	Ueki et al. [33]	Viver et al. [31]	Goligher et al. [28]	Dülger et al. [7]	Miyagi et al. [29]

www.ptha.eu/Articles/doi:10.32087/pha-2020-0007

visit us on the twitter.com/PTHA17680169

Table 1. Cont.

Interobserver Variability DT-inco	r = 0.707; mean differences 0.06 mm; SD=0.59 mm	r=0.736; mean differences 0.08 mm; SD=0,25 mm P <0,05	lack	lack	lack	ICC for repeat measurements <u>Supine:</u> Expiration ICC=0.95; CI 0.90-0.98 Inspiration ICC=0.93; CI 0.88-0.97 <u>Sitting:</u> Expiration ICC=0.95; CI -0.91-0.98 Inspiration ICC=0.96; CI 0.91-0.98 Standing: Expiration ICC=0.96; CI 0.93-0.98 Inspiration ICC= 0.95; CI 0.90-0.98	lack
			Both	Right	Right	Right	Right
			b-mode	-b-mode (Tdi,TF) -m-mode (EXdi)	m-mode	b-mode	lack
			- Tdi	- EXdi - Tdi - TF	- Tdi - EXdi - TF	- Tdi - TR	- Tdi - TF
			 intercostal space (the most and easily visualized) anterior axillary line 	 8th or 9th intercostal space between the anterior axillary and the midaxillary lines (Tdi, TF) mid-clavicular line (diaphragmatic excursion) 	 9th and 10th intercostal spaces, midaxillary line 	 8th or 9th intercostal space, anterior axillary line 	- anterior axillary line
			supine position	semi- recumbe nt position	lack	supine, seated, standing – postures	lack
			-37 patients with various neuromuscul ar disorders -10 control group	54 ICU patients	112 ICU patients (49- 71 years old)	24 healthy subjects (12 men, 12 women; 22- 35 years old	-45 MS patients (11 males, 34 females; mean age- 36)
			Noda et al. [34]	Farghaly et al. [30]	Dube et al. [27]	Hellyer et al. [2]	Sahin et al. [3]

www.ptha.eu/Articles/doi:10.32087/pha-2020-0007

visit us on the twitter.com/PTHA17680169

Examination of the diaphragm

Cont	
, -	i
hle	
L L	1

	Intraobserver variability right EXDI: bias -0.1; 95% confidence limits -0,36 to 0,17 left EXDI: bias 0,04; 95% confidence limits -0,16 to 0,24 right Tdi: bias 0,03; 95% confidence limits -0,17 to 0,1 left Tdi: bias 0.09; 95% confidence limits -0,03 to 0,2 Interobserver Variability right EXDI bias 0,08; 95% confidence limits -0,22 to 0,37 left EXDI bias 0,14; 95% confidence limits -0,2 to 0,37 left EXDI bias 0,14; 95% confidence limits -0,2 to 0,37 left EXDI bias 0,14; 95% confidence limits -0,2 to 0,07 left Tdi bias -0,07; 95% confidence limits -0,2 to 0,07 left Tdi bias -0,09; 95% confidence limits -0,2 to 0,07 left Tdi bias -0,09; 95% confidence limits -0,2 to 0,07 left Tdi	lack
	Both	Right (Tdi) Both (DM)
	m-mode b-mode (to find the diaphrag m)	b-mode (Tdi) m-mode (DM)
	- EXdi - Tdi	- Tdi DM
	<u>Right:</u> - subcostal area - between the midclavicular and anterior axillary lines <u>Left:</u> - subcostal or lower intercostal area - anterior and midaxillary lines	 7th - 9th intercostal space b-mode: anterior axillary lines m-mode: between the mid-clavicular and anterior axillary lines
	supine position	supine position
-36 healthy subjects (3 males, 33 females; mean age- 35)	400 healthy participants (1 month - 16 years old; 221 male and 179 female)	142 patients (62-lung cancer, 40-after lobe resection, 40-non- clinical control group)
	et al. [4]	al. [8]

www.ptha.eu/Articles/doi:10.32087/pha-2020-0007

Legend: Tdi - diaphragm thickness; TF - thickening fraction; TR - thickenning ratio; EXdi - diaphragm excursion; TGdi - diaphragm thickening; DM diaphragmatic motion / diaphragm movement; QB - quiet breathing; VS - voluntary sniffing; DB - deep breathing; SD - Standard Deviation; DT - insp thickness at the end of inspiration; DT-exp thickness at resting expiration; CR - repeatability coefficient; ICC - Intra-class correlation coefficient; IQR -

interquartile range; CI - Confidence Interval; MS-multiple sclerosis; ICU - intensive care unit; SWE - shear wave elastography

Author	Research group	Subject's position	Probe's position	US measur- ments	Mode	Examined side	Reliability analyses
Chino et al. [1]	14 healthy men (about 25 years old)	sitting on a reclined chair (75°)	-8th intercorsal space, -between the anteroaxillary and midaxillary	-diaphrag- matic shear modulus	swe	Right	lack
Bacha sson et al. [26]	15 healthy subjects (18-43 years old)	semire- cumbent position (40°)	-8th-10th inter- costal space -posterior axil- lary line	-diaphrag- matic shear modulus	swe b-mode	Right	lack

Table 2. Selected studies of diaphragm visualization using an elastography

diaphragm, increasing its length. In the vertical position, the internal organs are located lower in the abdomen, which may result in greater thickness during ultrasound examination [2].

The ultrasound probe was usually applied in the eighth, ninth or tenth intercostal space [1,2,7,26-31]. However, in the two studies the space between the seventh and eighth ribs was also taken into account [8,32]. In seven studies, the intercostal space in which was the examination conducted was not precisely determined. Instead, these authors used the liver as the reference point on the right side spleen on the left and the side [3,4,11,18,26,33,34]. The methodology of each paper described the vertical lines determining the area in which the transducer was applied to the chest. In six studies, the anterior axillary line was used [2,3,7,11,24,34]. The line between the anterior axillary line and midaxillary line was used in five studies [1,29-31,33]. In three studies, the transducer was applied on the midaxillary line [27,28,32], and only the study by Bachasson et al. [26] used the posterior axillary line. Gerscovich et al. [19] placed the transducer between the midclavicular line and midaxillary line. In the publications by Boussuges et al. and El-Halaby et al., the left and right hemidiaphragm was examined in relation

to other lines of the chest [4,17]. For the right hemidiaphragm, the transducer was placed between the midclavicular line and the anterior axillary line, and for the left hemidiaphragm, between the anterior axillary line and midaxillary line. Kocjan et. al. [8] placed the transducer in the anterior axillary lines during the b-mode test, and in m-mode between the midclavicular and anterior axillary lines. Diaphragm thickness measurements can vary widely depending on the selected intercostal space (up to 0.6 cm difference in resting thickness from one position to another). For repeated measurements, it is recommended that the examiner pay attention to a specific intercostal space, the distance from the selected vertical chest line and the measurement position [24]. According to Goligher et al., for correct reproducibility, it is recommended that the first examiner mark the examination site [28].

In the majority of studies (58%), only the right hemidiaphragm was examined as the opposite hemidiaphragm was more difficult to measure [1-3,7,26,27,29-33]. Eight publications studied both sides [4,11,17,18,24,28,34]. Kocjan et al. [8] studied only the right hemidiaphragm thickness, because the literature has shown that there is no significant difference between the sides.

The articles included in this review comprise various research populations, for example children [4,18], athletes [32] and healthy volunteers [2,17,24,33], as well as mechanically ventilated patients [27,28,30,31] and people with heart failure [29]. In the articles on diaphragm elasticity testing, the study group only comprised healthy individuals [1,26].

The ultrasonography research used the b-mode, m-mode or swe. The b-mode is mainly used to assess thickness, m-mode diaphragm assess excursion to or diaphragm movement, and swe mode to assess flexibility. According to the examination literature, m-mode is technically more difficult than b-mode, especially when imaging the left hemidiaphragm or obese individuals [24,34]. El-Halaby et al. [4] recommend that b-mode examination should be used prior to m-mode to find a suitable image.

The basic measurement of the diaphragm was its thickness. This measurement is useful in studies on disease progression [34]. However, according to Boon et al. [24], the thickening ratio (thickness at maximal inspiration/thickness at resting end expiration) may be more reliable than the thickness measurement itself.. Several articles use the thickening fraction, which is calculated from the equation: (thickness at end inspiration thickness at end expiration)/thickness at end expiration [27,28,30,31]. This measurement is an effective tool for identifying diaphragm dysfunction, monitoring its changes over time and predicting the possibility of extubating [26,28]. In contrast, the examination of SWE as a new imaging method may be in assessing the mechanical helpful properties of the tissue [26]. In the study by Chino et al., the diaphragm shear wave module increased with inspiratory mouth pressure [1].

An important aspect of research is to determine the reliability. Unfortunately,

reliability was only mentioned in a limited number of articles [2,4,11,17,24,28,29,31]. In half of these studies, Bland-Altman analysis was used assess to the repeatability of results [4,17,29,31]. In the study by Miyagi et al. [29], the results of intraobserver variability measured on 20 patients with heart failure was on high level. In three studies, intraobserver and interobserver repeatability were confirmed [4,17,31]. In the study by Boussuges et al., Pearson correlation analysis was performed in addition to Bland-Altman analysis. In the publication by Goligher et al. [28], the reproducibility of the study was checked based on the results of three investigators with different ultrasound experience. Before the examination, the diagnosticians had to demonstrate their ability to perform this technique on six patients. However, after these initial examinations, the diaphragm thickness measured by these investigators remained heterogeneous. The variability may be due to inadequate training of the investigators and different methods of application of the transducer. Consequently, the examination was repeated in 15 patients and the site of the transducer application was marked by the first researcher, which resulted in repeatable results. Two articles used the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) to assess the reliability [2,24]. Hellyer et al. [2] observed that the relative reliability in three different positions (standing, lying, sitting) was high. The lowest coefficient was obtained in the supine position. In the study by Boon et al. [24], interobserver and intraobserver reliability were high in all breathing trials. Khurana et al. [11] checked the reliability between using the Kappa-Cohen investigators coefficient. The results of consistency of measurements of both the right and left hemidiaphragm indicated a high level of reliability between investigators.

Many authors report difficulties in imaging the left hemidiaphragm [17, 18,

27, 28]. Dube et al. [27] initially tried to both hemidiaphragms, measure but examination of the left hemidiaphragm was discontinued after the first 25 patients due to poor consistency between observers. Although both hemidiaphragm sides were assessed in seven studies, three of these publications reported difficulty in obtaining the image of left an hemidiaphragm during deep breathing [17,18,28]. Thus, the left hemidiaphragm was only measured in 21-79% of patients [17,18,28]. The difficulties in obtaining a reliable image of the left hemidiaphragm are due to it being covered by the left lung, especially during deep breathing [7]. Moreover, the spleen constitutes a smaller acoustic window on the left side compared to the liver on the right side [17,18].

Another aspect is that both the test group and the control group should have similar anthropometric characteristics. In the study by Dülger et al. [7], people in the control group had a higher body mass index (BMI) than those in the study group. This may have had an impact on the lack of variation in diaphragm thickness in the control group (compared to the study group), which was not subjected to stabilisation exercises. In studies on ultrasound measurements of muscle thickness, body mass is considered a significant disturbing variable [35,36].

Diaphragm examination in children was limited by a disturbance in the breathing pattern after the transducer was applied to the abdomen [4]. El-Halaby et al. [4] state that after the transducer is applied, breathing should be regulated before the measurement is collected. Moreover, for greater reliability, it is recommended that several breathing cycles be recorded [4]. Scientists point out that the diagnostic experience is significant in reliability results of research [28,30,31]. However, in all studies, consistency between researchers with different levels of experience was acceptable. Another limitation may be obesity among the subjects. In some studies, obesity was one of the exclusion criteria. In the study by Khurana et al. [11], the examination of subjects with a BMI equal to or higher than 30 kg/m² took longer. In ultrasound examinations, higher BMI may result in the rejection of images due to low quality. In SWE tests, greater thickness of adipose tissue may cause inability to collect the elasticity results [37]. A similar problem has previously been highlighted in other studies that analysed abdominal muscle elastography in children and adults [37,38].

There have been very few studies on diaphragm SWE [1,26]. So far, these studies have only been performed on healthy adults. Chino et al. [1] suggested that it seems important to determine the relationship between transdiaphragmatic pressure and diaphragm shear wave module, as transdiaphragmatic pressure is now considered the best available indicator of diaphragm strength.

In summary, a review of the work ultrasound examination of the on diaphragm suggests that it is a reliable examination method. However. discrepancies arise in relation to the specific location of transducer placement. Further investigations are necessary to standardise the examination procedure. In addition, further testing in different age groups (e.g., children) is important as only two studies on diaphragm ultrasonography are currently available. Due to the multifunctional role of the diaphragm for the whole organism, it is recommended that examinations be performed on different populations (healthy, clinical, subclinical). It should also be noted that the reliability of diaphragm elasticity evaluation using SWE was not specified in any studies.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank professor Pawel Linek for providing inspiration for the focus on ultrasound imaging and for numerous discussions that contributed to the preparation of this publication.

References

- [1] Chino K, Ohya T, Katayama K, Suzuki Y. Diaphragmatic shear modulus at various submaximal inspiratory mouth pressure levels. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2018;252-253:52-7.
- [2] Hellyer NJ,Andreas NM, Bernstetter AS, et al. Comparison of diaphragm thickness measurements among postures via ultrasound imaging. PMR 2017;9(1):21-5.
- [3] Şahin H, Doğan A, Ekiz T. Ultrasonographic evaluation of the diaphragm thickness in patients with multiplesclerosis. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2019;36:101369.
- [4] El-Halaby H, Abdel-Hady H, Alsawah G, Abdelrahman A, El-Tahan H. Sonographic evaluation of diaphragmatic excursion and thickness in healthy infants and children. J Ultrasound Med 2016;35:167–75.
- [5] Nason LK, Walker CM, McNeeley MF, Burivong W, Fligner CL, Godwin JD. Imagine of the diaphragm: anatomy and function. Radiographics 2012;32(2):51-70.
- [6] Rutka M, Wolny T, Frąc Z, Linek P. Przegląd i wykorzystanie wybranych technik rozluźniania przepony. Rehabil Prakt 2019;6:46-50.
- [7] Dülger E, Bilgin S, Bulut E, et al. The effect of stabilization exercises on diaphragm muscle thickness and movement in women with low back pain. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil 2018;31:323–9.
- [8] Kocjan J, Gzik-Zroska B, Nowakowska K, et al. Impact of diaphragm function parameters on balance maintenance. PLoS One 2018;13(12):0208697.
- [9] Bogacz K, Szczygielniak J. Zastosowanie technik terapii manualnej w obrębie przepony u chorych po zabiegu pomostowania aortalno-wieńcowego. Rehabil Prakt 2017;(2):36-9.
- [10] Kocjan J, Adamek M, Gzik-Zroska B, Czyżewski D, Rydel M. "Sieć oddechowa". Wielofunkcyjna rola przepony — przegląd piśmiennictwa. Advances in Respiratory Medicine 2017;85:29–38.
- [11] Khurana J, Gartner SC, Naik L, Tsui BCH. Ultrasound identification of diaphragm by novices using ABCDE technique. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2018;43(2):161-5.
- [12] Matamis D, Soilemezi E, Tsagourias M, et al. Sonographic evaluation of the diaphragm in critically ill patients. Technique and clinical applications. Intensive Care Med 2013; 39:801–10.
- [13] Sarwal A, Walker FO, Cartwright MS. Neuromuscular ultrasound for evaluation of the diaphragm. Muscle Nerve 2013;47(3):319-29.
- [14] McCool FD1, Tzelepis GE. Dysfunction of the diaphragm. N Engl J Med 2012;366 (10):932-42.
- [15] Fayssoil A, Behin A, Ogna A. Diaphragm: pathophysiology and ultrasound imaging in neuromuscular disorders. J Neuromuscul Dis 2018;5(1):1–10.
- [16] Sferrazza Papa GF, Pellegrino GM, Di Marco F, et al. A review of the ultrasound assessment of diaphragmatic function in clinical practice. Respiration 2016;91:403–11.
- [17] Boussuges A, Gole Y, Blanc P. Diaphragmatic motion studied by m-mode ultrasonography methods, reproducibility, and normal values. Chest 2009;135(2):391-400.

- [18] Gerscovich EO, Cronan M, McGahan JP, Jain K, Jones D, McDonald C. Ultrasonographic evaluation of diaphragmatic motion. J Ultrasound Med 2001;20:597– 604.
- [19] Woo Goo H. Four-dimensional ct of the diaphragm in children: initial experience. Korean J Radiol 2018;19(1):111-8.
- [20] Mogalle K, Perez-Rovira A, Ciet P, et al. Quantification of diaphragm mechanics in pompe disease using dynamic 3D MRI. PLoS One 2016;11(7):0158912.
- [21] Batinic T. Mihanovic F, Breskovic T, et al. Dynamic diaphragmatic MRI during apnea struggle phase in breath-hold divers. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2016;222:55-62.
- [22] Smith BK, Allen S, Mays S, Martin AD, Byrne BJ. Dynamic respiratory muscle function in late-onset Pompe disease. Sci Rep 2019;9:19006.
- [23] Le Pimpec-Barthes F, Hernigou A, Mazzella A, et al. Dynamic magnetic resonance imaging in unilateral diaphragm eventration: knowledge improvement before and after plication. J Thorac Dis 2019;11(8):3467–75.
- [24] Boon AJ, Harper CJ, Ghahfarokhi LS, Strommen JA, Watson JC, Sorenson EJ. Twodimensional ultrasound imaging of the diaphragm: quantitative values in normal subjects. Musle Nerve 2013;47(6):884-9.
- [25] Péter A, Andersson E, Hegyi A, et al. Comparing surface and fine-wire electromyogramphy activity of lower leg muscles at different walking speeds. Front Physiol 2019;10:1283.
- [26] Bachasson D, Dres M, Nierat MC. Diaphragm shear modulus reflects transdiaphragmatic pressure during isovolumetric inspiratory efforts and ventilation against inspiratory loading. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2019;126(3):699-707.
- [27] Dubé BP, Dres M, Mayaux J, Demiri S, Similowski T,Demoule A. Ultrasound evaluation of diaphragm function in mechanically ventilated patients: comparison to phrenic stimulation and prognostic implications. Thorax 2017;72(9):811-8.
- [28] Goligher EC, Laghi F, Detsky ME, et al. Measuring diaphragm thickness with ultra sound in mechanically ventilated patients: feasibility, reproducibility and validity. Inten sive Care Med 2015;41:642–9.
- [29] Miyagi M, Kinugasa Y, Sota T, et al. Diaphragm muscle dysfunction in patients with heart failure. J Card Fail 2018;24(4):209-16.
- [30] Farghaly S,Hasan AA. Diaphragm ultrasound as a new method to predict extubation outcome in mechanically ventilated patients. Aust Crit Care 2017;30(1):37-43.
- [31] Vivier E, Dessap AM, Dimassi S, et al. Diaphragm ultrasonography to estimate the work of breathing during non-invasive ventilation. Intensive Care Med 2012;38:796– 803.
- [32] Brown PI, Venables HK, Liu H, De-Witt JT, Brown MR, Faghy MA. Ventilatory muscle strength, diaphragm thickness and pulmonary function in world-class powerlifters. Eur J Appl Physiol 2013;113:2849–55.
- [33] Ueki J, De Bruin PF, Pride NB. In vivo assessment of diaphragm contraction by ultrasound in normal subjects. Thorax 1995;50:1157-61.
- [34] Noda Y, Sekiguchi K, Kohara N, Kanda F, Toda T. Ultrasonographic diaphragm thick ness correlates with compound muscle action potential amplitude and forced vital capacity. Muscle Nerve 2016;53:522–7.
- [35] Linek P. The importance of body mass normalisation for ultrasound measurements of the morphology of oblique abdominis muscles: the effect of age, gender, and sport practice. Folia Morphol (Warsz) 2018;77(1):123-130.

- [36] Linek P. The importance of body mass normalisation for ultrasound measurement of the transversus abdominis muscle: the effect of age, gender and sport practice. Musculo-skelet Sci Pract 2017;28:65-70.
- [37] MacDonald D, Wan D, McPhee M, et al. Reliability of abdominal muscle stiffness measured using elastography during trunk rehabilitation exercises. Ultrasound Med Biol 2016;42(4):1018–25.
- [38] Linek P, Wolny T, Sikora D, Klepek A. Supersonic shear imaging for quantification of lateral abdominal muscle shear modulus in pediatric population with scoliosis: a reliability and agreement study. Ultrasound Med Biol 2019;45(7):1551-61.